

# Land use history alters the relationship between native and exotic plants: the rich don't always get richer

John D. Parker · Lauren J. Richie ·  
Eric M. Lind · Kelly O. Maloney

Received: 5 June 2009 / Accepted: 19 August 2009  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2009

**Abstract** Observational studies of diversity have consistently found positive correlations between native and exotic species, suggesting that the same environmental factors that drive native species richness also drive exotic species richness, i.e., “the rich get richer”. We examined patterns of native and exotic plant species richness in temperate forests that have been undergoing reforestation since the turn of the twentieth century to test the influence of disturbance arising from land-use history on this relationship. Overall, we found no relationship between native and exotic plant species richness. Instead, we found a positive relationship between native and exotic richness in older but not younger-growth forests, suggesting that the same processes that drove exotic plant richness in older forests also facilitated native plants. In contrast, younger forests had similar numbers of native species relative to older forests, but 41% more exotic species and 24% more compacted soils. Moreover, exotic but not native species richness was positively correlated with increasing soil compaction

across all sites. Overall, our results suggest that elevated exotic plant invasions in younger forests are a legacy of soil disturbance arising from agricultural practices at the turn of the century, and that native and exotic plants may respond differentially to disparate environmental drivers.

**Keywords** Soil compaction · Land use history

## Introduction

Theory and experimental evidence suggest that biologically diverse native communities should limit the number and impact of alien invaders, e.g., “biotic resistance” (Case 1990; Naeem et al. 2000; Kennedy et al. 2002). Observational studies, however, have commonly found the opposite pattern, whereby native and exotic species are often positively correlated, e.g., “the rich get richer” (Stohlgren et al. 2003; Meiners et al. 2004; Gilbert and Lechowicz 2005; Fridley et al. 2007). One proposed explanation for the latter finding is that the same environmental factors that enhance alien species also enhance native species (Levine and D’Antonio 1999; Stohlgren et al. 1999). There have been few attempts, however, to distinguish whether native and exotic species respond similarly to gradients in environmental drivers across the landscape, thus generating the pattern of the “rich get richer.”

---

J. D. Parker (✉) · L. J. Richie · E. M. Lind ·  
K. O. Maloney  
Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, 647  
Contees Wharf Road, Edgewater, MD 21037, USA  
e-mail: parkerj@si.edu

L. J. Richie  
Swarthmore College, Swarthmore, PA, USA

Disturbance is one of the principle factors widely linked to altered species diversity in general and to increased biological invasions in particular (Hobbs and Huenneke 1992; D'Antonio et al. 1999; Parks et al. 2005, Mosher et al. 2009). Sites with a recent history of disturbance, for example, often contain more exotic plant species than relatively undisturbed sites (Hobbs and Huenneke 1992; D'Antonio et al. 1999; Parks et al. 2005; Mosher et al. 2009). A predominant form of disturbance in today's natural ecosystems, however, is historical. Following a peak of agriculture in the early 1900s, increasing farm consolidation led to the widespread abandonment of small farmlands across much of eastern North America (Flinn and Vellend 2005), and these post-agricultural lands are often marked by distinctively different conditions than lands that have never been cultivated (Foster et al. 2003). Soils on historically cultivated sites, for example, are often more compacted, have greater bulk density, lower organic content, altered pH, and less microbial biomass than comparable non-cultivated sites (Burke et al. 1995; Compton and Boone 2000; Falkengren-Grerup et al. 2006; Maloney et al. 2008). The relationship between previous cultivation and soil nutrient availability is more variable and highly dependent on the type of agricultural regime followed (McLauchlan 2006), as well as the post-agricultural plant community (Knops and Tilman 2000; Kulmatiski et al. 2006). In addition to altered soil characteristics, post-agricultural lands often have dramatically different plant communities, including elevated numbers of exotic plants and altered plant community composition (Neill et al. 2007; McDonald et al. 2008; Mosher et al. 2009). Despite these patterns, no studies to date have examined whether native and exotic richness respond similarly to gradients of site disturbance arising from land-use history.

We examined patterns of native and exotic plant species richness in temperate forests that have been undergoing reforestation since the early twentieth century to ask the following questions: (1) Are exotic and native plant species richness in forest understory communities correlated, either positively or negatively? (2) Are these patterns influenced by land use history? (3) Do environmental variables associated with land use history differentially affect native and exotic plant communities and thus alter the relationship between native and exotic plant species?

## Methods

### Study area

The Smithsonian Environmental Research Center (SERC) lies along the western shore of the Chesapeake Bay in Edgewater, MD (38°53' N, 76°33' W) and is located in a semi-rural area comprised of approximately 1,200 hectares of research forests and croplands. SERC and the surrounding areas have long been inhabited by humans, but intensive modification of the landscape appears to have arisen only during the last few 100 years. From approximately 10,000 years BP to the early 1600s, Native Americans used the site in the spring and fall to access fishing and shellfish, but more permanent settlements were located further inland, and there is no evidence of extensive forest clearing (Higman 1968). Beginning in the mid-1600s, however, forests in the area were successively cleared to support colonial-era tobacco plantations. Soil-depleting tobacco gave way to wheat and grain production in the mid-1800s, followed by dairy farming in the early 1900s. Since the early 1960s, SERC has operated as a field research station and most of the croplands have been allowed to revert to forests (Higman 1968); thus the contemporary landscape is a mosaic of forest ages reflecting their successive abandonment following intensive agricultural use during Colonial times.

Typical of secondary forests along much of the eastern US, SERC forests contain about 40 common canopy species, including tulip poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), oaks (*Quercus falcata*, *Q. coccinea*, *Q. velutina*, *Q. rubra*, *Q. alba*), hickories (*Carya* spp.), beech (*Fagus grandifolia*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflora*), and red maple (*Acer rubrum*) (Higman 1968). The understory community at SERC contains about 150 species and is highly invaded by several common introduced species including Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), wineberry (*Rubus pheonicolasius*), and Japanese stiltgrass (*Microstegium vimineum*). Soils at SERC are generally considered mesic, fine loamy ultisols. Previous studies indicate that sites at SERC with a previous history of cultivation have surprisingly similar values for some soil properties when compared to sites that have never been farmed, including pH, nutrient composition, % mineral composition, and grain size (Pierce 1974).

## Exotic and native plant surveys

To determine the relationship between native and exotic plant species in contemporary forest communities, in June and July of 2008 we characterized the understory vegetation at 50 randomly selected sites within SERC research forests that were abandoned a minimum of 10 years ago and thus had a well developed understory. We focused on the understory plant community (<2 m in height) because the two non-native tree species at SERC, Tree-of-heaven (*Alianthus altissima*) and princess tree (*Paulownia tomentosa*) are not currently found as canopy species. At each site we followed protocols established by the Forest Inventory and Analysis program (U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service 2002), sampling the vegetation within three 1.0 m<sup>2</sup> quadrats placed 4.7 m distant from the center of each site along transects placed at 30, 150, and 270° from due north. In each quadrat, we recorded the identity and percentage cover of all plant species shorter than 2 m tall. Cover measurements were estimated for each species separately and thus total cover within a quadrat could sum to greater than 100%.

## Plot environmental traits

To assess environmental differences across our sites that might reflect various land use histories, we measured soil compaction, soil moisture, and light availability in each quadrat. Soil compaction was measured by taking the mean of five readings from a cone penetrometer (Fieldscout SC900, Spectrum Technologies, Plainview, IL) in the center of each quadrat, one reading from each 2.54 cm interval below the surface. Soil moisture was measured using a soil moisture probe (Fieldscout TDR 300 Spectrum Technologies, Plainview, IL) taken once in the center of each quadrat. Soil compaction and moisture readings were made on three consecutive days during which no precipitation occurred. Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured by placing a handheld ceptometer (AccuPar LP-80, Decagon Devices, Pullman, WA) above the understory vegetation (~2 m height) at each quadrat between the hours of 11 am and 2 pm on cloudless days. A second reading obtained beneath the understory canopy provided an estimate of Leaf Area Index (LAI), a proxy for plant standing stock.

## Land cover classification

We used aerial orthophotographs to identify historical land use of each site in 1938, the earliest available aerial photography for this area, and in 1952, 1974, and 1998. GPS locations for each site were superimposed onto aerial orthophotographs using ArcGIS 9.1 (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, CA, U.S.A.). Color orthophotographs were available for 1998 (1:2400, VARGIS, 208 Elden St. Suite 204, Herndon, VA 20170) and 2005 (1:1200, EarthData International, Inc. Frederick MD, U.S.A.). Black and white aerial photographs were available for 1938 (1:20000), 1952 (1:63360), and 1974 (1:9600). These black and white photographs were georeferenced using ArcGIS to the more recent orthorectified aerial imagery (2005, by which time all sites were in closed canopy forest). For each time period, sites were then classified into one of the two predominant land covers, i.e., either forest or field. Sites were classified as forested if they had complete tree coverage. Croplands, pastures, and recently abandoned fields were all classified as fields because lower resolution of earlier black and white photographs prevented reliable discrimination among these three covers.

## Statistical analysis

### Species richness

All statistical analyses were conducted using R software (R Development Core Team 2008). The mean number of species and percent cover of three quadrats were used to generate species richness and cover of native and exotic species per site. We then used linear regression to determine whether there was a positive relationship between native and exotic species richness across all 50 sites (“the rich get richer” hypothesis), and whether there was a relationship between exotic species richness and native plant cover. We also separately examined these relationships within each land use classification from 1938, 1952, 1974, and 1998. Data in each regression model were examined for homogeneity of variance and normally distributed residuals, and no transformations were required to meet the assumptions of the model. We then used *t*-tests to determine whether native and exotic species richness and cover differed by land use history.

## Plot environmental traits

We used a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) to examine differences in environmental variables measured during the field survey (PAR, soil moisture, and soil compaction) relative to previous land use. Examining the response of the variables in aggregate allowed us to account for their covariance across plots. Data subjected to the MANOVA were examined for heterogeneity of variance and normally distributed residuals, and as a result PAR values were log-transformed to meet the assumptions of the model. We separately tested the effect of land use history on Leaf Area Index (LAI), an assessment of understory canopy density, because LAI is a derivative of light availability. Data were log-transformed to meet the assumptions of the model.

We then used linear regression to determine whether there was a positive relationship between native and exotic species richness and soil compaction, light availability, and soil moisture. Data in each regression model were examined for homogeneity of variance and normally distributed residuals, and PAR were log-transformed to meet the assumptions of the model.

## Plant species composition

To examine species composition at each site, we used non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) to ordinate plots in “plant space.” The NMDS technique graphically depicts dissimilarity between plant communities based on values in a distance matrix. This distance matrix is in turn a summary of the difference in species composition (species identity and % cover) among sites. Because the depiction is based on rank difference, rather than numerical distance, NMDS is less susceptible than other ordination methods to outlying responses to underlying gradients (Clarke 1993). NMDS ordinations were constructed in R (package *vegan*, Oksanen et al. 2008) using Bray–Curtis distance based on the mean percent cover of plant species across the three quadrats at each site. We conducted three NMDS procedures, one each for all species together, only native species, and only exotic species.

To test the hypothesis that species assemblages differed by previous land use history, we used analysis of similarity (ANOSIM, Clarke 1993).

ANOSIM uses a bootstrap randomization (we used 999 bootstrap replicates) to determine the probability of group membership based on the within-stand versus between-stand variance in the community. Like NMDS, ANOSIM is based on rank distances between samples (we used the same Bray–Curtis distance matrices used for the NMDS). Within each grouping (all species, native species only, and exotic species only), we tested whether the species composition in newer-growth forests differed from sites that had been continuously forested since 1938.

Finally, to test whether our measured environmental variables influenced plant community composition, we used an indirect gradient approach to correlate the position of each site in the NMDS ordination with values of environmental variables found at each site. The strength and significance of the correlation between species composition and our measured environmental variables was tested with a randomization permutation procedure (999 permutations).


## Results

### Species richness and cover

We found 138 plant species, of which 110 were native and 28 were introduced (Appendix 1). The most common native species were Virginia creeper (*Parthenocissus quinquefolia*), spicebush (*Lindera benzoin*), Jack-in-the-pulpit (*Arisaema triphyllum*), and poison ivy (*Toxicodendron radicans*). Non-native plant species were also common and widespread, including Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), Indian strawberry (*Duchesnea indica*), wineberry (*Rubus pheonicolasius*), Japanese stiltgrass (*Microstegium vimineum*), and multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*) (Appendix 1).

Overall across all 50 sites, there was no relationship between native and exotic species richness ( $r^2 = 0.057$ ,  $P = 0.094$ ). We found a significant pattern, however, when we separately examined sites with a different land use history in 1938, the earliest period for which we had aerial documentation of land use. When we looked specifically across the 22 sites that have been forested continuously since 1938, native and exotic plant richness were positively correlated (Fig. 1a,  $r^2 = 0.255$ ,  $P = 0.017$ ). In contrast, there was no relationship between native and exotic species

richness across the 28 sites that were fields in 1938 (Fig. 1b;  $r^2 = 0.018$ ,  $P = 0.496$ ). Results were qualitatively similar for land use history in 1952, 1974, and 1998 (Appendix 2). Overall, there was also a positive relationship between native species richness and native % cover that persisted across both land use categories (overall  $r^2 = 0.548$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), and as a result, native cover and exotic species richness were also positively correlated across continuously forested sites ( $r^2 = 0.254$ ,  $P = 0.017$ ), but not in forests that were fields in 1938 ( $r^2 = 0.024$ ,  $P = 0.434$ ). These relationships were again similar when examined




**Fig. 1** We found a significant, positive relationship between exotic species richness and native species richness at the 22 sites that have been continuously forested since 1938 (the earliest aerial photographic evidence of land use; panel (a)), but no relationship between native and exotic plant species richness at the 28 sites that are currently forested but were fields in 1938 (b). In panel b the symbol color is indicative of stand age, with dark to light symbol gradations corresponding to sites becoming forested by 1952, 1974, 1998, and 2005, respectively

across sites with differing land use histories in 1952, 1974, and 1998 (Appendix 3).


The pattern of the ‘rich get richer’ was altered because of differential invasions into younger forests. Forests that had been fields in 1938 had 41% more exotic species on average than continuously forested sites (Fig. 2,  $1.47 \text{ m}^{-2} \pm 0.2$  in old forests versus  $2.07 \text{ m}^{-2} \pm 0.2$  in new forests,  $P = 0.029$ ). There was no difference in the mean number of native species in continuously forested versus younger forests (Fig. 2,  $P = 0.748$ ), or in the % cover of native or exotic plants in continuously forested versus younger forests ( $P \geq 0.251$ ,  $t$ -tests).

Plot environmental traits

Land use history affected the suite of environmental traits we measured (overall MANOVA,  $P = 0.043$ ). Soil compaction in particular was 24% significantly higher in plots that had been fields versus forests in 1938 (Fig. 3,  $88.0 \text{ kPa} \pm 6.4$  in old forests versus  $108.9 \text{ kPa} \pm 5.7$  in new forests,  $P = 0.018$ ), though soil moisture ( $P = 0.095$ ) and light availability ( $P = 0.319$ ) were not significantly affected by previous land use history (Fig. 3). An independent ANOVA of LAI showed no effect of land use history on the density of understory plant communities in continuously forested versus younger forests ( $P = 0.140$ ). Across all sites, exotic but not native plant




**Fig. 2** Forests that were fields in 1938 have the same number of native species, but more exotic species than continuously forested plots. Data are number of species per plot ( $N_{\text{field}} = 28$ ,  $N_{\text{forest}} = 22$ ). Boxes depict the median (line within the box), the 25th and 75th percentiles (box boundaries), and the 10th and 90th percentiles (error bars). Symbols depict values that were outside of the 10th and 90th percentiles. Letters represent significantly different means ( $P < 0.05$ , ANOVA)



**Fig. 3** Sites that were fields in 1938 have higher soil compaction (a) than plots that have been forested continuously since 1938. Boxes depict the median (line within the box), the 25th and 75th percentiles (box boundaries), and the 10th and 90th percentiles (error bars). Symbols depict values that were outside of the 10th and 90th percentiles. Soil moisture content and photosynthetically active radiation did not differ by land use history (b, c). Significance values are from a multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) using all environmental variables as a response to 1938 land use history

richness was positively correlated with increasing soil compaction (Fig. 4). There were no relationships between exotic/native richness and either light




**Fig. 4** Exotic (a) but not native (b) plant species richness is positively correlated with increasing soil compaction. Filled symbols were sites with continuous forest cover since 1938; open symbols were sites that were fields in 1938. Statistics are from linear regressions

availability or soil moisture ( $P \geq 0.099$ , linear regressions).

#### Plant species composition

Overall plant species composition differed across sites that had disparate land uses in 1938 (Fig. 5,  $P = 0.020$ ), and soil compaction was the primary environmental variable that was significantly associated with these differences ( $P < 0.001$ ). Compaction increased along an axis moving from plant species associated with plots that had been continuously forested towards plant species that were consistently associated with younger forests (Fig. 5).


When native species alone were ordinated using NMDS, there was a significant difference in plant species composition when grouped by land use



**Fig. 5** Understory plant community composition in contemporary forests depends on land use in 1938. *Dashes* outline the maximum extent of each land use history in “plant community space” using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of the rank Bray–Curtis similarity in percent cover (analysis of similarity, ANOSIM,  $P = 0.02$ ). There was a strong association between increasing soil compaction and plant community composition in newer-growth forests (randomization tests,  $P < 0.001$ )

history in 1938 ( $P = 0.025$ , ANOSIM). Particular species were consistently associated with older growth forests, including woody species such as red maple (*Acer rubrum*), ironwood (*Carpinus caroliniana*), and flowering dogwood (*Cornus florida*), as well as the perennial herb partridgeberry (*Mitchella repens*; all  $P \leq 0.010$ , randomization tests). In contrast, sites that had been fields in 1938 had higher cover of monocots, including sweet woodreed (*Cinna arundinacea*), nimblewill (*Muhlenbergia schreberi*) and poverty rush (*Juncus tenuis*), and forbs such as white avens (*Geum canadense*), and jumpseed (*Polygonum virginianum*; all  $P \leq 0.010$ , randomization tests). These differences in plant community composition appeared to be correlated with higher soil compaction ( $P < 0.001$ ), which increased in sites that had been fields in 1938.

Considering only exotic species, plant species composition was again different according to land use history ( $P = 0.008$ , ANOSIM), but in this case differences were due to variance in the presence of particular species rather than variance in the relative abundance of the same species (Fig. 6). Sites that had



**Fig. 6** Exotic plant community composition in contemporary forests depends on land use in 1938. *Dashes* outline the maximum extent of each land use history on “exotic plant community space” using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) of the rank Bray–Curtis similarity in percent cover (analysis of similarity, ANOSIM,  $P = 0.008$ ). There were also significant associations between increasing light availability ( $P = 0.034$ , randomization tests), soil compaction ( $P = 0.011$ ), and soil moisture ( $P = 0.018$ ) and plant community composition. Species significantly ( $P \leq 0.001$ , randomization tests) associated with different vegetation types are plotted as the centroid of their mean abundance across all sites

been fields in 1938 had highly similar exotic plant communities, dominated (in order of mean percent cover) by Japanese honeysuckle (*Lonicera japonica*), wineberry (*Rubus phoenicolasius*), indian strawberry (*Duchesnea indica*), redtop (*Agrostis alba*), and multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*). Sites that have been forested since at least 1938 varied more widely in terms of their exotic species composition; some resembled the historical field sites with an array of several exotic species, whereas others were dominated by one or two invasive species alone, including Japanese stilt grass (*Microstegium vimineum*), and mile-a-minute weed (*Persicaria perfoliata*). The position of sites within the NMDS ordination by exotic species composition was significantly correlated with all three measured environmental variables (Fig. 6). Along one NMDS axis, sites were separated by soil moisture ( $P = 0.018$ ) and soil compaction ( $P = 0.011$ ), whereas sites along the orthogonal axis were separated by light availability ( $P = 0.034$ ).

## Discussion

Observational studies (Stohlgren et al. 1999; Stohlgren et al. 2003; Meiners et al. 2004; Gilbert and Lechowicz 2005; Fridley et al. 2007) have routinely shown that native and exotic plant species richness are positively correlated, i.e., “the rich get richer.” Our study partially supports this relationship. We found a positive relationship between native and exotic species in older growth forests (i.e., forested since 1938, Fig. 1a) but not younger forests (i.e., fields in 1938, Fig. 1b). This suggests that the same environmental factors that drive native species richness also drive exotic species richness, but only in older-growth forests.

In contrast, in younger forests we found 41% more exotic species (Fig. 2) and 24% greater soil compaction (Fig. 3a). Moreover, increasing soil compaction was positively correlated with exotic but not native species richness (Fig. 4), and soil compaction was further associated with changes in plant community composition (Figs. 5, 6). Thus, we hypothesize that soil compaction arising from agricultural history disrupted the rich-get-richer effect by facilitating exotic but not native plant establishment (e.g., Burke and Grime 1996; Woitke and Dietz 2002; Kulmatiski et al. 2006). Notably, in a study following the same plant survey methodology and measuring 26 variables at local scales, Huebner et al. (2009) also found elevated numbers of exotic plant species in younger forests. Similarly, Mosher et al. (2009) and Lilley and Vellend (2009) demonstrated that aspects of land use, including time since agricultural abandonment and proximity to human activities, respectively, can differentially alter the abundance of native and exotic species, in one case reversing the relationship between native and exotic plant richness (Lilley and Vellend 2009). Overall, these results suggest that native and exotic species may be responding to different environmental gradients across the landscape, in some cases weakening, eliminating, or even reversing the pattern of the ‘rich get richer’.

Soil disturbance in general can disproportionately favor exotic species over native species (D’Antonio et al. 1999; Woitke and Dietz 2002; Kulmatiski et al. 2006), but few studies have examined whether native and exotic species differ in their responses to increased soil compaction. Soil compaction is commonly associated with agricultural practices (Raper 2005), can persist for years following agricultural

abandonment (Standish et al. 2006), and can influence plant establishment through increased soil resistance to plant roots, reduced porosity, reduced nutrient mineralization rates, decreased oxygen availability, and changes in water availability (Bassett et al. 2005). Higher soil compaction in younger forests may result from their longer association with mechanized equipment, grazing animals, and other human activities, or to changes in these practices over time (Prosser et al. 2000; Greenwood and McKenzie 2001; Hamza and Anderson 2004). In our study, younger forests at our sites had 24% greater soil compaction than older forests (Fig. 3), and increasing soil compaction was positively associated with exotic but not native plant species richness (Fig. 4), both implicating soil compaction as an environmental legacy of agricultural history that can differentially increase exotic but not native species richness. In contrast, Kyle et al. (2007) found that the invasive grass *Bromus tectorum* performed poorly in compacted old field soils, whereas all other exotic and native species were not affected by soil compaction. One potential explanation for our finding is that the invaders at our sites might possess traits that allow them to thrive in compacted or disturbed soils. For example, the exotic stoloniferous forest herb *Duchesnea indica* was positively associated with increased soil compaction (Fig. 6) and is a common horticultural plant used as ground cover in high disturbance areas (e.g., rock pathways and rock gardens; Huxley and Griffiths 1999). Moreover, some of the common invasive plant species in our study were intentionally introduced (e.g., *Lonicera japonica*, *Rosa multiflora*, *Rubus phoenicolasius*), and it is possible that these and other introduced species thrive in more recently disturbed forests because they were intentionally selected for their ability to thrive in challenging environments (Martin et al. 2009).

Other factors may also explain the increased abundance of exotic species in younger forests. For example, younger forests that were recently cropland or pasture may also experience increased propagule pressure, particularly if there are greater numbers of viable exotic species present in the seed bank (Myster and Pickett 1990). Japanese stilt grass (*Microstegium vimineum*), for example, is an annual plant and thus completely reliant on annual seed set to maintain populations. Furthermore, younger and more disturbed forests at SERC and elsewhere are also more

highly invaded by exotic earthworms (Gundale et al. 2005; Crow et al. 2009), and the intensive grazing of surface organic material by earthworms can also contribute to increased soil compaction (Hale et al. 2005) and thus potentially to exotic plant invasions.

It is also possible that differences in soil compaction were pre-existing and thus the cause and not the consequence of differential land use. However, our sites were broadly dispersed over a landscape comprised of similar topographies, they possess some similar soil characteristics, including soil type (fine loamy ultisols), grain size composition and mineralogy (Pierce 1974), and our results are consistent with numerous studies documenting higher soil compaction on previously cultivated versus uncultivated land (Compton et al. 1998; Lemenih et al. 2005; Maloney et al. 2008), suggesting that the observed differences are the result of previous land use.

Our result that older forests (i.e., forested in 1938) had lower exotic species richness than younger forests also supports other studies finding that less disturbed, older forests may be less susceptible to invasion by exotic plants (Jenkins and Parker 2001; McCarthy et al. 2001; Lundgren et al. 2004; Mosher et al. 2009; but see Martin et al. 2009). The resistance of older forests to exotic invasions has been attributed to low light availability, limited propagule dispersal, and reduced disturbance (Brothers and Spingarn 1992, but see Martin et al. 2009). In support of this hypothesis, the abundance of the noxious invaders Japanese stilt grass (*Microstegium vimineum*) and mile-a-minute weed (*Persicaria perfoliata*) were positively associated with increasing light availability in both younger and older forests (Fig. 6). Both species are often considered light-gap or edge species that can thrive in high light (Winter et al. 1982; Mountain 1989), thus exotic invaders may colonize and persist as long as disturbance (e.g., tree-falls, road cuts, etc.) maintains adequate light environments

regardless of forest age (Brothers and Spingarn 1992; Martin et al. 2009).

Changing competitive dynamics during secondary succession may also contribute to the pattern of reduced invasions in older forests. For example, older forests may lose exotic species over time as these sites undergo succession. In support of this hypothesis, only two of the exotic plants in the current study could be considered a canopy species, whereas many of the native understory plants that we observed do grow to be canopy species. Thus, following agricultural abandonment, succession of native understory species into a canopy layer could eventually outcompete and suppress exotics in older forests. In this scenario, time since abandonment may be more important than soil disturbance in explaining contemporary patterns of invasions, although these two key factors may often be linked.

This study highlights the importance of considering historical land use and soil disturbance when examining modern-day exotic-native plant relationships. In landscapes reverting from an agricultural to forested state, soil compaction caused by agricultural or pastoral land use during the early twentieth century was linked to increased exotic plant invasions. In contrast to assumptions that similar processes drive both native and exotic species richness across the landscape, this pattern of disturbance removed the pattern of the ‘rich-get-richer’ and led to increased invasions in younger, more recently disturbed forests.

**Acknowledgments** We thank E. Myron and C. Latimer for field assistance and two anonymous reviewers for constructive comments on the manuscript. This research was supported by NSF (DBI 0353759).

## Appendix 1

See Table 1.

**Table 1** Frequency of occurrence for 138 plant species found in a survey of 50 forest sites located at the Smithsonian Environmental Research Center

Natives	Frequency	Exotics	Frequency
<i>Parthenocissus quinquefolia</i>	50	<i>Lonicera japonica</i>	49
<i>Acer rubrum</i>	47	<i>Rubus phoenicolasius</i>	34
<i>Arisaema triphyllum</i>	45	<i>Duchesnea indica</i>	32
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	45	<i>Rosa multiflora</i>	30
<i>Lindera benzoin</i>	44	<i>Microstegium vimineum</i>	23
<i>Toxicodendron radicans</i>	44	<i>Ligustrum sinense</i>	11

Table 1 continued

Natives	Frequency	Exotics	Frequency
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>	40	<i>Allium vineale</i>	8
<i>Viola papilionacea</i>	35	<i>Alliaria petiolata</i>	5
<i>Cinna arundinacea</i>	32	<i>Rumex obtusifolius</i>	5
<i>Smilax rotundifolia</i>	32	<i>Commelina communis</i>	4
<i>Polygonum virginianum</i>	28	<i>Agrostis alba</i>	3
<i>Sanicula canadensis</i>	27	<i>Hedera helix</i>	3
<i>Fagus grandifolia</i>	26	<i>Rumex crispus</i>	3
<i>Rubus occidentalis</i>	26	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	3
<i>Circaea lutetiana</i>	25	<i>Berberis thunbergii</i>	2
<i>Vitis labrusca</i>	25	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	2
<i>Galium circaezans</i>	24	<i>Persicaria perfoliata</i>	2
<i>Ilex opaca</i>	24	<i>Polygonum convolvulus</i>	2
<i>Botrychium virginianum</i>	22	<i>Abutilon theophrasti</i>	1
<i>Campsis radicans</i>	22	<i>Ailanthus altissima</i>	1
<i>Polystichum acrostichoides</i>	22	<i>Albizia julibrissin</i>	1
<i>Asimina triloba</i>	21	<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	1
<i>Houstonia purpurea</i>	21	<i>Medicago lupulina</i>	1
<i>Prunus serotina</i>	21	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	1
<i>Poa autumnalis</i>	17	<i>Mentha citrata</i>	1
<i>Galium aparine</i>	15	<i>Poa compressa</i>	1
<i>Podophyllum peltatum</i>	15	<i>Solanum physalifolium</i>	1
<i>Solidago caesia</i>	15	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	1
<i>Carpinus caroliniana</i>	14		
<i>Ulmus americana</i>	14		
<i>Acer negundo</i>	13		
<i>Boehmeria cylindrica</i>	13		
<i>Carya alba</i>	13		
<i>Carex lurida</i>	12		
<i>Geum canadense</i>	11		
<i>Panicum clandestinum</i>	11		
<i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>	10		
<i>Viburnum prunifolium</i>	10		
<i>Carex vulpinoidea</i>	9		
<i>Viburnum acerifolium</i>	8		
<i>Mitchella repens</i>	7		
<i>Oxalis stricta</i>	7		
<i>Quercus alba</i>	7		
<i>Quercus velutina</i>	7		
<i>Apocynum cannabinum</i>	6		
<i>Carex swanii</i>	6		
<i>Impatiens capensis</i>	6		
<i>Juncus tenuis</i>	6		
<i>Osmorhiza longistylis</i>	6		
<i>Pinus virginiana</i>	6		
<i>Quercus falcata</i>	6		

**Table 1** continued


Natives	Frequency	Exotics	Frequency
<i>Asplenium platyneuron</i>	5		
<i>Carex gracillima</i>	5		
<i>Cornus florida</i>	5		
<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>	5		
<i>Scutellaria saxatilis</i>	5		
<i>Wisteria frutescens</i>	5		
<i>Cynoglossum virginanum</i>	4		
<i>Elymus villosus</i>	4		
<i>Festuca rubra</i>	4		
<i>Phytolacca americana</i>	4		
<i>Salix nigra</i>	4		
<i>Solidago altissima</i>	4		
<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i>	4		
<i>Verbesina occidentalis</i>	4		
<i>Carya glabra</i>	3		
<i>Dennstaedtia punctilobula</i>	3		
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i>	3		
<i>Eleocharis intermedia</i>	3		
<i>Muhlenbergia schreberi</i>	3		
<i>Platanus occidentalis</i>	3		
<i>Polygonatum biflorum</i>	3		
<i>Sassafras albidum</i>	3		
<i>Smilacina racemosa</i>	3		
<i>Acalypha rhomboidea</i>	2		
<i>Amphicarpaea bracteata</i>	2		
<i>Athyrium felix-femina</i>	2		
<i>Botrychium dissectum</i>	2		
<i>Erigeron annuus</i>	2		
<i>Euonymus americanus</i>	2		
<i>Juncus effusus</i>	2		
<i>Nyssa sylvatica</i>	2		
<i>Polygonum pensylvanicum</i>	2		
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>	2		
<i>Acer spicatum</i>	1		
<i>Achillea millefolium</i>	1		
<i>Carex blanda</i>	1		
<i>Celtis occidentalis</i>	1		
<i>Chamaecyparis thyoides</i>	1		
<i>Crataegus sp.</i>	1		
<i>Desmodium nudiflorum</i>	1		
<i>Fraxinus profunda</i>	1		
<i>Helianthus tuberosus</i>	1		
<i>Hydrangea arborescens</i>	1		
<i>Iva frutescens</i>	1		
<i>Lycopodium digitatum</i>	1		

**Table 1** continued

Natives	Frequency	Exotics	Frequency
<i>Ophioglossum vulgatum</i>	1		
<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	1		
<i>Poa pratensis</i>	1		
<i>Polygonum arifolium</i>	1		
<i>Prenanthes altissima</i>	1		
<i>Prenanthes trifoliolata</i>	1		
<i>Quercus bicolor</i>	1		
<i>Quercus prinus</i>	1		
<i>Sambucus canadensis</i>	1		
<i>Saururus cernuus</i>	1		
<i>Solanum carolinense</i>	1		
<i>Solidago juncae</i>	1		
<i>Tridens flavus</i>	1		
<i>Uvularia grandiflora</i>	1		

**Appendix 2**

See Fig. 7.




**Fig. 7** We found a significant, positive relationship between exotic species richness and native species richness at the 29 sites that have been continuously forested since at least 1952 but at not at the 21 sites that were fields in 1952. The

relationships were qualitatively similar but marginally non-significant for 1974 and 1998 land use histories. Statistics are from linear regressions

## Appendix 3

See Fig. 8.



**Fig. 8** We found significant, positive relationships between exotic species richness and native percentage cover across sites that had been continuously forested since at least 1938, 1952,

1974, and 1998. There were no relationships between native cover and exotic plant species richness at sites that were fields during each time period. Statistics are from linear regressions

## References

- Bassett IE, Simcock RC, Mitchell ND (2005) Consequences of soil compaction for seedling establishment: implications for natural regeneration and restoration. *Austral Ecol* 30:827–833
- Brothers TS, Spingarn A (1992) Forest fragmentation and alien plant invasion of central Indiana old-growth forests. *Conserv Biol* 6:91–100
- Burke MJW, Grime JP (1996) An experimental study of plant community invasibility. *Ecology* 77(3):776–790
- Burke IC, Lauenroth WK, Coffin DP (1995) Soil organic matter recovery in semiarid grasslands—implications for the conservation reserve program. *Ecol Appl* 5(3):793–801
- Case TJ (1990) Invasion resistance arises in strongly interacting species-rich model competition communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 87:9610–9614
- Clarke KR (1993) Nonparametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Aust J Ecol* 18:117–143
- Compton JE, Boone RD (2000) Long-term impacts of agriculture on soil carbon and nitrogen in New England forests. *Ecology* 81(8):2314–2330
- Compton JE, Boone RD, Motzkin G, Foster DR (1998) Soil carbon and nitrogen in a pine-oak sand plain in central Massachusetts: role of vegetation and land-use history. *Oecologia* 116(4):536–542
- Crow SE, Filley TR, McCormick M, Szlavecz K, Stott DE, Gamblin D, Conyers G (2009) Earthworms, stand age, and species composition interact to influence particulate organic matter chemistry during forest succession. *Biogeochemistry* 92(1–2):61–82
- D’Antonio CM, Dudley TD, Mack M (1999) Disturbance and biological invasions: direct effects and feedbacks. In: Walker LR (ed) *Ecosystems of the world 16: ecosystems of disturbed ground*. Elsevier, New York, pp 413–452
- Falkengren-Grerup U, ten Brink DJ, Brunet J (2006) Land use effects on soil N, P, C and pH persist over 40–80 years of forest growth on agricultural soils. *Forest Ecol Manag* 225(1–3):74–81
- Flinn KM, Vellend M (2005) Recovery of forest plant communities in post-agricultural landscapes. *Front Ecol Environ* 3:243–250
- Foster D, Swanson F, Aber J, Burke I, Brokaw N, Tilman D, Knapp A (2003) The importance of land-use legacies to ecology and conservation. *Bioscience* 53(1):77–88
- Fridley JD, Brown RL, Bruno JE (2007) Null models of exotic invasions and scale-dependent patterns of native and exotic species richness. *Ecology* 85:3215–3222
- Gilbert B, Lechowicz MJ (2005) Invasibility and abiotic gradients: the positive correlation between native and exotic plant diversity. *Ecology* 86(7):1848–1855

- Greenwood KL, McKenzie BM (2001) Grazing effects on soil physical properties and the consequences for pastures: a review. *Aust J Exp Agric* 41(8):1231–1250
- Gundale MJ, Jolly WM, Deluca TH (2005) Susceptibility of a northern hardwood forest to exotic earthworm invasion. *Conserv Biol* 19(4):1075–1083
- Hale CM, Frelich LE, Reich PB, Pastor J (2005) Effects of European earthworm invasion on soil characteristics in northern hardwood forests of Minnesota, USA. *Ecosystems* 8(8):911–927
- Hamza MA, Anderson WK (2004) Soil compaction in cropping systems: a review of the nature, causes and possible solutions. *Soil Tillage Res* 82(2):121–145
- Higman D (1968) An ecologically annotated checklist of the vascular flora at the Chesapeake Bay Center for Field Biology, with keys. Office of Ecology, Smithsonian Institution, Washington DC
- Hobbs RJ, Huenneke LF (1992) Disturbance, diversity, and invasion: implications for conservation. *Conserv Biol* 6:324–337
- Huebner CD, Morin RS, Zurbruggen A, White RL, Moore A, Twardus D (2009) Patterns of exotic plant invasions in Pennsylvania's Allegheny National Forest using intensive forest inventory and analysis plots. *Forest Ecol Manag* 257(1):258–270
- Huxley A, Griffiths M (eds) (1999) The new Royal Horticultural Society dictionary of gardening. Nature Publishing Group, London
- Jenkins MA, Parker GR (2001) Woody species composition of disturbed forests in intermittent stream bottomlands of southern Indiana. *J Torrey Bot Soc* 128(2):165–175
- Kennedy TA, Naeem S, Howe KM, Knops JMH, Tilman D, Reich P (2002) Biodiversity as a barrier to ecological invasion. *Nature* 417:636–638
- Knops JMH, Tilman D (2000) Dynamics of soil nitrogen and carbon accumulation for 61 years after agricultural abandonment. *Ecology* 81(1):88–98
- Kulmatiski A, Beard KH, Stark JM (2006) Soil history as a primary control on plant invasion in abandoned agricultural fields. *J Appl Ecol* 43(5):868–876
- Kyle GP, Beard KH, Kulmatiski A (2007) Reduced soil compaction enhances establishment of non-native plant species. *Plant Ecol* 193:223–232
- Lemenih M, Karlton E, Olsson M (2005) Assessing soil chemical and physical property responses to deforestation and subsequent cultivation in smallholders farming system in Ethiopia. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 105(1–2):373–386
- Levine JM, D'Antonio CM (1999) Elton revisited: a review of evidence linking diversity and invasibility. *Oikos* 87:15–26
- Lilley PL, Vellend M (2009) Negative native-exotic diversity relationship in oak savannas explained by human influence and climate. *Oikos* (in press)
- Lundgren MR, Small CJ, Dreyer GD (2004) Influence of land use and site characteristics on invasive plant abundance in the Quinebaug highlands of Southern New England. *Northeastern Nat* 11(3):313–332
- Maloney KO, Garten CT Jr, Ashwood TL (2008) Changes in soil properties following 55 years of secondary forest succession at Fort Benning, Georgia, U.S.A. *Restor Ecol* 16(3):503–510
- Martin PH, Canham CD, Marks PL (2009) Why forests appear resistant to exotic plant invasions: intentional introductions, stand dynamics, and the role of shade tolerance. *Front Ecol Environ* 7(3):142–149
- McCarthy BC, Small CJ, Rubino DL (2001) Composition, structure and dynamics of Dysart Woods, and old-growth mixed mesophytic forest of southeastern Ohio. *Forest Ecol Manag* 140(2–3):193–213
- McDonald RI, Motzkin G, Foster DR (2008) Assessing the influence of historical factors, contemporary processes, and environmental conditions on the distribution of invasive species. *J Torrey Bot Soc* 135(2):260–271
- McLaughlan K (2006) The nature and longevity of agricultural impacts on soil carbon and nutrients: a review. *Ecosystems* 9(8):1364–1382
- Meiners SJ, Cadenasso ML, Pickett STA (2004) Beyond biodiversity: individualistic controls of invasion in a self-assembled community. *Ecol Lett* 7:121–126
- Mosher ES, Silander JA, Latimer AM (2009) The role of land-use history in major invasions by woody plant species in the northeastern North American landscape. *Biol Invasions*. doi:10.1007/s10530-008-9418-8
- Mountain WL (1989) Mile-a-minute (*Polygonum perfoliatum* L.) update-distribution, biology, and control suggestions. Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture. *Weed Circ* 15:21–24
- Myster RW, Pickett STA (1990) Initial conditions, history and successional pathways in ten contrasting old fields. *Am Midl Nat* 124:231–238
- Naeem S, Knops JMH, Tilman D, Howe KM, Kennedy T, Gale S (2000) Plant diversity increases resistance to invasion in the absence of covarying extrinsic factors. *Oikos* 91(1):97–108
- Neill C, Von Holle B, Kleese K, Ivy KD, Collins AR, Treat C, Dean M (2007) Historical influences on the vegetation and soils of the Martha's Vineyard, Massachusetts coastal sandplain: implications for conservation and restoration. *Biol Conserv* 136:17–32
- Oksanen J, Kindt R, Legendre P, O'Hara B, Simpson GL, Solymos P, Henry M, Stevens H, Wagner H (2008) vegan: community ecology package. R package version 1.15-1. <http://cran.r-project.org/>, <http://vegan.r-forge.r-project.org/>. Accessed 23 April 2009
- Parks CG, Radosevich SR, Endress BA, Naylor BJ, Anzinger D, Rew LJ, Maxwell BD, Dwire KA (2005) Natural and land-use history of the Northwest mountain ecoregions (USA) in relation to patterns of plant invasions. *Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst* 7(3):137–158
- Pierce JW (1974) Soil mineral analyses: % composition by mineral classes. In: Corell DL (ed) *Environmental monitoring and baseline data*. Smithsonian Institution Environmental Sciences Program, Temperate Studies, pp 1113–1129
- Prosser CW, Sedivec KK, Barker WT (2000) Tracked vehicle effects on vegetation and soil characteristics. *J Range Manag* 53(6):666–670
- R Development Core Team (2008) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. ISBN 3-900051-07-0, <http://www.R-project.org>

- Raper RL (2005) Agricultural traffic impacts on soil. *J Terramech* 42:259–280
- Standish RJ, Cramer VA, Hobbs RJ, Kobryn HT (2006) Legacy of land-use evident in soils of Western Australia's wheatbelt. *Plant Soil* 280:189–207
- Stohlgren TJ, Binkley D, Chong GW, Kalkhan MA, Schell LD, Bull KA, Otsuki Y, Newman G, Bashkin M, Son Y (1999) Exotic plant species invade hot spots of native plant diversity. *Ecol Monogr* 69(1):25–46
- Stohlgren TJ, Barnett DT, Kartesz JT (2003) The rich get richer: patterns of plant invasions in the United States. *Front Ecol Environ* 1(1):11–14
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service (2002) Forest inventory and analysis national core field guide, volume 2: field data collection procedures for phase 3 plots, version 1.6. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Washington Office. Internal Report. On file with: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Forest Inventory and Analysis, Rosslyn Plaza, 1620 North Kent Street, Arlington, VA 22209
- Winter K, Schmitt MR, Edwards GE (1982) *Microstegium vimineum*, a shade adapted C-4 grass. *Plant Science Letters* 24:311–318
- Woitke M, Dietz H (2002) Shifts in dominance of native and invasive plants in experimental patches of vegetation. *Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst* 5(3):165–184